arXiv:1403.0136v2 [math.FA] 14 Mar 2014

ON THE CLASS OF WEAK ALMOST LIMITED OPERATORS

AZIZ ELBOUR, NABIL MACHRAFI, AND MOHAMMED MOUSSA

Abstract. We introduce and study the class of weak almost limited operators. We establish a characterization of pairs of Banach lattices E, F for which every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited (resp. almost Dunford-Pettis). As consequences, we will give some interesting results.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper X, Y will denote real Banach spaces, and E, F will denote real Banach lattices. B_X is the closed unit ball of X and $\mathrm{sol}(A)$ denotes the solid hull of a subset A of a Banach lattice. We will use the term operator $T : X \to Y$ between two Banach spaces to mean a bounded linear mapping.

Let us recall that a norm bounded subset A of X is called a *Dunford-Pettis set* (resp.a *limited set*) if each weakly null sequence in X^* (resp. weak* null sequence in X^*) converges uniformly to zero on A. An operator $T : X \to Y$ is called *Dunford-Pettis* if $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in X implies $\|Tx_n\| \to 0$, equivalently, if T carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X onto relatively compact subsets of Y. An operator $T : X \to Y$ is said to be *limited* whenever $T(B_X)$ is a limited set in Y, equivalently, whenever $\|T^*(f_n)\| \to 0$ for every weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset Y^*$.

Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [1] introduced the class of weak Dunford–Pettis operators. An operator $T : X \to Y$ is said to be *weak Dunford–Pettis* whenever $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in X and $f_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in Y^* imply $f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$, equivalently, whenever T carries weakly compact subsets of X to Dunford–Pettis subsets of Y [2, Theorem 5.99]. Next H'michane et al. [8] introduced the class of weak* Dunford-Pettis operators, and characterized this class of operators and studied some of its properties in [9]. An operator $T : X \to Y$ is called *weak* Dunford-Pettis* whenever $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in X and $f_n \overset{w^*}{\to} 0$ in Y^* imply $f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$, equivalently, whenever T carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X onto limited subsets of Y [9, Theorem 3.2].

Recently, two classes of norm bounded sets are considered in the theory of Banach lattices. From [3] (resp. [5]), a norm bounded subset A of a Banach lattice E is said to be an *almost Dunford-Pettis set* (resp. *an almost limited set*), if every disjoint weak null (resp. weak* null) sequence (f_n) in E^* converges uniformly to zero on A. Clearly, all Dunford-Pettis sets (resp. limited sets) in a Banach lattice are almost Dunford-Pettis (resp. almost limited). Also, every almost limited set is almost Dunford-Pettis. But the converse does not hold in general.

Let us recall that an operator $T : E \to X$ is said to be *almost Dunford-Pettis*, if T carries every disjoint weakly null sequence to a norm null sequence, or equivalently,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B42; Secondary 46B50, 47B65.

Key words and phrases. weak almost limited operator, almost limited operator, almost Dunford-Pettis operator, wDP* property, positive dual Schur property, almost limited set.

if T carries every disjoint weakly null sequence consisting of positive terms to a norm null sequence [12, Remark 1]. From [10], an operator $T : X \to E$ is called *almost limited* whenever $T(B_E)$ is an almost limited set in E, equivalently, whenever $\|T^*(f_n)\| \to 0$ for every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$.

Using the almost Dunford-Pettis sets, Bouras and Moussa [4] introduced the class of weak almost Dunford-Pettis operators. An operator $T : X \to E$ is called *weak almost Dunford-Pettis* operator whenever T carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X to almost Dunford–Pettis subsets of E, equivalently, whenever $f_n(T(x_n)) \to 0$ for all weakly null sequences (x_n) in X and for all weakly null sequences (f_n) in E^* consisting of pairwise disjoint terms [4, Theorem 2.1].

In this paper, using the almost limited sets, we introduce the class of *weak almost limited* operators $T : X \to E$, which carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X to almost limited subsets of E (Definition 2.1). It is a class which contains that of weak* Dunford-Pettis (resp. almost limited). We establish some characterizations of weak almost limited operators. After that, we derive the domination property of this class of operators (Corollary 2.6). Next, we characterize pairs of Banach lattices E, F for which every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited (resp. almost Dunford-Pettis). As consequences, we will give some interesting results.

To show our results we need to recall some definitions that will be used in this paper. A Banach lattice E has

- the Dunford-Pettis property, if $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in E and $f_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in E^* imply $f_n(x_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, equivalently, each relatively weakly compact subset of E is Dunford–Pettis.
- the Dunford-Pettis* property (DP* property for short), if $x_n \overset{w}{\to} 0$ in E and $f_n \overset{w^*}{\to} 0$ in E^* imply $f_n(x_n) \to 0$, equivalently, each relatively weakly compact subset of E is limited.
- the weak Dunford-Pettis* property (wDP* property), if $f_n(x_n) \to 0$ for every weakly null sequence (x_n) in E and for every disjoint weak* null sequence (f_n) in E^*, equivalently, each relatively weakly compact subset of E is almost limited [5, Definition 3.1].
- the Schur (resp. positive Schur) property, if $\|x_n\| \to 0$ for every weak null sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ (resp. $(x_n) \subset E^+$).
- the positive dual Schur property, if $\|f_n\| \to 0$ for every weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$, equivalently, $\|f_n\| \to 0$ for every weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$ consisting of pairwise disjoint terms [13, Proposition 2.3].
- the property (d) whenever $|f_n| \wedge |f_m| = 0$ and $f_n \overset{w^*}{\to} 0$ in E^* imply $|f_n| \overset{w^*}{\to} 0$.

It should be noted, by Proposition 1.4 of [13], that every σ-Dedekind complete Banach lattice has the property (d) but the converse is not true in general. In fact, the Banach lattice ℓ^∞/c_0 has the property (d) but it is not σ-Dedekind complete [13, Remark 1.5].

Our notions are standard. For the theory of Banach lattices and operators, we refer the reader to the monographs [2, 11].

2. Main results

We start this section by the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *An operator $T : X \to E$ from a Banach space X into a Banach lattice E is called weak almost limited if T carries each relatively weakly compact set in X to an almost limited set in E.*

Clearly, a Banach lattice E has the DP* property (resp. wDP* property) if and only if the identity operator $I : E \to E$ is weak* Dunford-Pettis (resp. weak almost limited). Also, every weak* Dunford-Pettis (resp. almost limited) operator $T : X \to E$ is weak almost limited, but the converse is not true in general. In fact, the identity operator $I : L^1[0,1] \to L^1[0,1]$ (resp. $I : \ell^1 \to \ell^1$) is weak almost limited but it fail to be weak* Dunford-Pettis (resp. almost limited).

In terms of weakly compact and almost limited operators the weak almost limited operators are characterized as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *For an operator $T : X \to E$, the following assertions are equivalents:*

1. *T is weak almost limited.*
2. *If $S : Z \to X$ is a weakly compact operator, where Z is an arbitrary Banach space, then the operator $T \circ S$ is almost limited.*
3. *If $S : \ell^1 \to X$ is a weakly compact operator then the operator $T \circ S$ is almost limited.*
4. *For every weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset X$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$ we have $f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $(f_n) \subset E^*$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence. We shall proof that $\left\|(T \circ S)^*(f_n)\right\| \to 0$. Otherwise, by choosing a subsequence we may suppose that there is ε with $\left\|(T \circ S)^*(f_n)\right\| > \varepsilon > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So for every n there exists some $x_n \in B_Z$ with $(T \circ S)^*(f_n)(x_n) = f_n(T(S(x_n))) \geq \varepsilon$.

On the other hand, as S is weakly compact, $\{S(x_k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a relatively weakly compact subset of X . Then $\{T(S(x_k)) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an almost limited set in E (as T is weak almost limited). So $\sup\{|f_n(T(S(x_k)))| : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But this implies that $f_n(T(S(x_n))) \to 0$, which is impossible. Thus, $\left\|(T \circ S)^*(f_n)\right\| \to 0$, and hence $T \circ S$ is almost limited.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Obvious.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) Let $(x_n) \subset X$ be a weakly null sequence and let $(f_n) \subset E^*$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence. By Theorem 5.26 [2], the operator $S : \ell^1 \to X$ defined by $S((\lambda_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i$, is weakly compact. Thus, by our hypothesis $T \circ S$ is almost limited and hence $\left\|(T \circ S)^*(f_n)\right\| \to 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|(T \circ S)^*(f_n)\right\| &= \sup\{|f_n(T(S((\lambda_i))))| : (\lambda_i) \in B_{\ell^1}\} \\ &\geq |f_n(T(S(e_n)))| = |f_n(T(x_n))| \end{aligned}$$

for every n, where (e_n) is the canonical basis of ℓ^1. Then $f_n(T(x_n)) \to 0$, as desired.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let W be a relatively weakly compact subset of X. If $T(W)$ is not almost limited set in E then there exists a disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$ such that $\sup\{|f_n(T(x))| : x \in W\} \not\to 0$. By choosing a subsequence we may suppose that there is ε with $\sup\{|f_n(T(x))| : x \in W\} > \varepsilon > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So for every n there exists some $x_n \in W$ with $|f_n(T(x_n))| \geq \varepsilon$.

On the other hand, since W is a relatively weakly compact subset of X, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) such that $x_{n_k} \overset{w}{\to} x$ holds in X. By hypothesis, $f_{n_k}(T(x_{n_k} - x)) \to 0$ and clearly $f_{n_k}(T(x)) \to 0$. Now from $f_{n_k}(T(x_{n_k})) =$

$f_{n_k}(T(x_{n_k}-x))+f_{n_k}(T(x))$ we see that $f_{n_k}(T(x_{n_k}))\to 0$, which is impossible. Thus, $T(W)$ is an almost limited set in E, and so T is weak almost limited. □

Remark 2.3. *Every operator $T:X\to E$ that admits a factorization through the Banach lattice ℓ^∞, is weak almost limited.*

In fact, let $R:X\to\ell^\infty$ and $S:\ell^\infty\to E$ be two operators such that $T=S\circ R$. Let $(x_n)\subset X$ be a weakly null sequence and let $(f_n)\subset E^*$ be a disjoint weak null sequence. Clearly $R(x_n)\overset{w}{\to}0$ holds in ℓ^∞ and $S^*f_n\overset{w^*}{\to}0$ holds in $(\ell^\infty)^*$. Since ℓ^∞ has the Dunford-Pettis* property then $f_n(Tx_n)=(S^*f_n)(R(x_n))\to 0$. Thus T is weak almost limited.*

The next result characterizes, under some conditions, the order bounded weak almost limited operators between two Banach lattices.

Theorem 2.4. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices such that the lattice operations of E^* are sequentially weak* continuous or F satisfy the property* (d)*. Then for an order bounded operator $T:E\to F$, the following assertions are equivalents:*

1. *T is weak almost limited.*
2. *For every weakly null sequence $(x_n)\subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset F^*$ we have $f_n(Tx_n)\to 0$.*
3. *For every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n)\subset E$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset F^*$ we have $f_n(Tx_n)\to 0$.*
4. *For every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n)\subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset F^*$ we have $f_n(Tx_n)\to 0$.*
5. *T carries the solid hull of each relatively weakly compact subset of E to an almost limited subset of F.*

If F has the property (d), we may add:

6. *$f_n(T(x_n))\to 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n)\subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset (F^*)^+$.*
7. *$f_n(T(x_n))\to 0$ for every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n)\subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset (F^*)^+$.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) and (1) ⇒ (3) Follows from Theorem 2.2.

(2) ⇒ (4) and (3) ⇒ (4) are obvious.

(4) ⇒ (5) Let W be a relatively weakly compact subset of E and let $(f_n)\subset F^*$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence. Put $A=\mathrm{sol}(W)$ and note that if $(z_n)\subset A^+:=A\cap E^+$ is a disjoint sequence then by Theorem 4.34 of [2] $z_n\overset{w}{\to}0$. Thus, by our hypothesis $f_n(Tz_n)\to 0$ for every disjoint sequence $(z_n)\subset A^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n)\subset F^*$. Now, by Theorem 2.7 of [10] $T(A)$ is almost limited.

(5) ⇒ (1) Obvious.

(2) ⇒ (6) and (4) ⇒ (7) are obvious.

(6) ⇒ (2) and (7) ⇒ (4) Let $(x_n)\subset E^+$ be a weakly null (resp. disjoint weakly null) sequence and let $(f_n)\subset F^*$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence.

If F has the property (d) then $|f_n|\overset{w^*}{\to}0$. So from the inequalities $f_n^+\le|f_n|$ and $f_n^-\le|f_n|$, the sequences (f_n^+), (f_n^-) are weak* null. Finally, by (6) (resp. (7)), $\lim f_n(T(x_n))=\lim\left[f_n^+(T(x_n))-f_n^-(T(x_n))\right]=0$. □

As consequence of Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following characterization of the wDP* property which is a generalization of Theorem 3.2 of [5].

Corollary 2.5. *Let E be a Banach lattice with the property* (d). *Then the following assertions are equivalents:*

(1) *E has the wDP* property.*
(2) *For every weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$ we have $f_n(x_n) \to 0$.*
(3) *For every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$ we have $f_n(x_n) \to 0$.*
(4) *For every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset E^*$ we have $f_n(x_n) \to 0$.*
(5) *The solid hull of every relatively weakly compact set in E is almost limited.*
(6) *$f_n(x_n) \to 0$ for every weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$.*
(7) *$f_n(x_n) \to 0$ for every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$.*

Recently, the authors in [6] demonstrated that if a positive weak* Dunford-Pettis operator $T : E \to F$ has its range in σ-Dedekind complete Banach lattice, then every positive operator $S : E \to F$ that it dominates (i.e., $0 \leq S \leq T$) is also weak* Dunford-Pettis [6, Theorem 3.1]. For the positive weak almost limited operators, the situation still hold when F satisfy the property (d).

Corollary 2.6. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices such that F satisfy the property* (d). *Let S, $T : E \to F$ be two positive operators such that $0 \leq S \leq T$. Then S is a weak almost limited operator whenever T is one.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 2.4 by noting that $0 \leq f_n(Sx_n) \leq f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$ for every disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$. □

Note that, clearly, every almost limited operator $T : X \to E$, from a Banach space into a Banach lattice, is weak almost limited. But the converse is not true in general. Indeed, the identity operator $I : \ell^1 \to \ell^1$ is Dunford-Pettis (and hence weak almost limited) but it is not almost limited.

The next result characterizes pairs of Banach lattices E, F for which every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited.

Theorem 2.7. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices such that F has the property* (d). *Then, the following statements are equivalents:*

(1) *Every order bounded weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited.*
(2) *Every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited.*
(3) *One of the following assertions is valid:*
   (i) *F has the positive dual Schur property.*
   (ii) *The norm of E^* is order continuous.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) Obvious.

(2) ⇒ (3) Assume by way of contradiction that F does not have the positive dual Schur property and the norm of E^* is not order continuous. We have to construct a positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ which is not almost limited. To this end, since the norm of E^* is not order continuous, there exists a disjoint

sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$ satisfying $\|f_n\| = 1$ and $0 \leq f_n \leq f$ for all n and for some $f \in (E^*)^+$(see Theorem 4.14 of [2]).

On the other hand, since F does not have the positive dual Schur property, then there is a disjoint weak* null sequence $(g_n) \subset (F^*)^+$ such that (g_n) is not norm null. By choosing a subsequence we may suppose that there is ε with $\|g_n\| > \varepsilon > 0$ for all n. From the equality $\|g_n\| = \sup\{g_n(y) : y \in B_F^+\}$, there exists a sequence $(y_n) \subset B_F^+$ such that $g_n(y_n) \geq \varepsilon$ holds for all n.

Now, consider the operators $P : E \to \ell^1$ and $S : \ell^1 \to F$ defined by

$$P(x) = (f_n(x))_n$$

and

$$S((\lambda_n)_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n y_n$$

for each $x \in E$ and each $(\lambda_n)_n \in \ell^1$. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |f_n(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(|x|) = \left(\vee_{n=1}^{N} f_n\right)(|x|) \leq f(|x|)$$

for each $x \in E$ and each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator P is well defined, and clearly P and S are positives. Now, consider the positive operator $T = S \circ P : E \to \ell^1 \to F$, and note that $T(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) y_n$ for each $x \in E$. Clealry, as ℓ^1 has the Schur property, then T is Dunford-Pettis and hence T is weak almost limited. However, for the disjoint weak* null sequence $(g_n) \subset (F^*)^+$, we have for every n,

$$T^*(g_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_n(y_k) f_k \geq g_n(y_n) f_n \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\|T^*(g_n)\| \geq \|g_n(y_n) f_n\| = g_n(y_n) \geq \varepsilon$$

for every n. This show that T is not almost limited, and we are done.

$(i) \Rightarrow (1)$ In this case, every operator $T : E \to F$ is almost limited. In fact, let $(f_n) \subset F^*$ be a disjoint weak* null sequence. Since F has the property (d) then the positive disjoint sequence $(|f_n|) \subset F^*$ is weak* null. So by the positive dual Schur property of F, $\|f_n\| \to 0$, and hence $\|T^*(f_n)\| \to 0$, as desired.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (1)$ According to Proposition 4.4 of [10] it is sufficient to show $f_n(T(x_n)) \to 0$ for every norm bounded disjoint sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (F^*)^+$. As the norm of E^* is order continuous then every norm bounded disjoint sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ is weakly null [11, Theorem 2.4.14]. Now, since T is an order bounded weak almost limited operator then by Theorem 2.4 $f_n(T(x_n)) \to 0$ for every norm bounded disjoint sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$ and every disjoint weak* null sequence $(f_n) \subset (F^*)^+$. This complete the proof. □

As consequence of Theorem 2.7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *For a Banach lattices E with the property* (d)*, E^* has an order continuous norm if and only if every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to E$ is almost limited.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.7 by noting that if E has the positive dual Schur property then the norm of E^* is order continuous. □

As the Banach space ℓ^1 has the Schur property then every operator $T : \ell^1 \to E$ is weak almost limited. Another consequence of Theorem 2.7 is the following characterization of the positive dual Schur property.

Corollary 2.9. *A Banach lattices E with the property* (d)*, has the positive dual Schur property if and only if every positive operator $T : \ell^1 \to E$ is almost limited.*

Recall that a reflexive Banach space with the Dunford-Pettis property is finite dimensional [2, Theorem 5.83]. We can prove a similar result for Banach lattices as follows.

Proposition 2.10. *Let E be a Banach lattice with the wDP* property. If the norms of E and E^* are order continuous then E is finite dimensional.*

In particular, a reflexive Banach lattice with the wDP property is finite dimensional.*

Proof. Assume that the norms of E and E^* are order continuous. As E has the wDP* property the identity operator $I : E \to E$ is weak almost limited. Since the norm of E^* is order continuous then, by Theorem 2.7, I is almost limited. So E has the positive dual Schur property. Now, as the norm of E is order continuous then E is finite dimensional [13, Proposition 2.1 (b)]. For the second part, it is enough to note that if E is a reflexive Banach lattice then the norms of E and E^* are order continuous [2, Theorem 4.70]. □

Note that from Theorem 2.4, it is easy to see that if F is a Banach lattice with property (d) then every order bounded almost Dunford-Pettis operator $T : E \to F$ is weak almost limited. But the convers is false in general. In fact, the identity operator $I : \ell^\infty \to \ell^\infty$ is weak almost limited operator but it fail to be almost Dunford-Pettis.

The following result characterizes pairs of Banach lattices E, F for which every positive weak almost limited operator operator $T : E \to F$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.

Theorem 2.11. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices such that F is σ-Dedekind complete. Then, the following statements are equivalents:*

1. *Every order bounded weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.*
2. *Every positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.*
3. *One of the following assertions is valid:*
   - (i) *E has the positive Schur property.*
   - (ii) *The norm of F is order continuous.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) Obvious.

(2) ⇒ (3) Assume by way of contradiction that E does not have the positive Schur property and the norm of F is not order continuous. We have to construct a positive weak almost limited operator $T : E \to F$ which is not almost Dunford-Pettis. As E does not have the positive Schur property, then there exists a disjoint weakly null sequence (x_n) in E^+ which is not norm null. By choosing a subsequence we may suppose that there is ε with $\|x_n\| > \varepsilon > 0$ for all n. From the equality $\|x_n\| = \sup\{f(x_n) : f \in (E^*)^+, \quad \|f\| = 1\}$, there exists a sequence $(f_n) \subset (E^*)^+$ such that $\|f_n\| = 1$ and $f_n(x_n) \geq \varepsilon$ holds for all n.

Now, consider the operator $R : E \to \ell^\infty$ defined by

$$R(x) = (f_n(x))_n$$

On the other hand, since the norm of F is not order continuous, it follows from Theorem 4.51 of [2] that ℓ^∞ is lattice embeddable in F, i.e., there exists a lattice homomorphism $S : \ell^\infty \to F$ and there exist tow positive constants M and m satisfying

$$m \left\|(\lambda_k)_k\right\|_\infty \leq S\left((\lambda_k)_k\right) \leq M \left\|(\lambda_k)_k\right\|_\infty$$

for all $(\lambda_k)_k \in \ell^\infty$. Put $T = S \circ R$, and note that T is a positive weak almost limited operator (see Remark 2.3). However, for the disjoint weakly null sequence $(x_n) \subset E^+$, we have

$$\|T(x_n)\| = \|S\left(\left(f_k(x_n)\right)_k\right)\| \geq m \left\|\left(f_k(x_n)\right)_k\right\|_\infty \geq m f_n(x_n) \geq m\varepsilon$$

for every n. This show that T is not almost Dunford-Pettis, and we are done.

$(i) \Rightarrow (1)$ In this case, every operator $T : E \to F$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let $T : E \to F$ be an order bounded weak almost limited operator and let $(x_n) \subset E$ be a positive disjoint weakly null sequence. We shall show that $\|Tx_n\| \to 0$. By corollary 2.6 of [7], it suffices to proof that $|Tx_n| \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$ for every disjoint and norm bounded sequence $(f_n) \subset (F^*)^+$.

Indeed

- Let $f \in (F^*)^+$. By Theorem 1.23 of [2], for each n there exists some $g_n \in [-f, f]$ with $f|Tx_n| = g_n(Tx_n)$. Note that the adjoint operator $T^* : F^* \to E^*$ is order bounded [2, Theorem 1.73], and pick some $h \in (E^*)^+$ with $T^*[-f, f] \subseteq [-h, h]$. So $0 \leq f|Tx_n| = (T^* g_n)(x_n) \leq h(x_n)$ for all n. Since $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, then $h(x_n) \to 0$ and hence $f|Tx_n| \to 0$. Thus $|Tx_n| \xrightarrow{w} 0$.

- Let $(f_n) \subset (F^*)^+$ be a disjoint and norm bounded sequence. As the norm of F is order continuous, then by corollary 2.4.3 of [11] $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$. Now, since T is an order bounded weak almost limited then $f_n(Tx_n) \to 0$ (Theorem 2.4). This complete the proof. □

As consequence of Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.12. *A σ-Dedekind complete Banach lattices E has an order continuous norm if and only if every order bounded weak almost limited operator $T : E \to E$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.*

By Remark 2.3 every operator $T : E \to \ell^\infty$ is weak almost limited. As another consequence of Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following characterization of the positive Schur property.

Corollary 2.13. *A Banach lattices E has the positive Schur property if and only if every positive operator $T : E \to \ell^\infty$ is almost Dunford-Pettis.*

References

[1] Aliprantis, C.D. and Burkinshaw, O.: *Dunford-Pettis operators on Banach lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 227-238.

[2] Aliprantis, C.D. and Burkinshaw, O.: *Positive operators*. Reprint of the 1985 original. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[3] Bouras, K.: *Almost Dunford–Pettis sets in Banach lattices*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2013) **62**:227–236.

[4] Bouras, K. and Moussa, M.: Banach lattices with weak Dunford-Pettis property, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology. International Journal of Engineering and Mathematical Science, 50 (2011), 773–777.
[5] Chen J.X., Chen Z.L., Ji G.X.: *Almost limited sets in Banach lattices,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2014) 547–553.
[6] Chen J.X., Chen Z.L., Ji G.X.: *Domination by positive weak* Dunford-Pettis operators on Banach lattices*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.2808
[7] Dodds P.G. and Fremlin D.H.: *Compact operators on Banach lattices*, Israel J. Math. **34** (1979) 287-320.
[8] H'michane J., El Kaddouri A., Bouras K., Moussa M.: *On the class of limited operators*, Acta Math. Sci., to appear.
[9] El Kaddouri A., H'michane J., Bouras K., Moussa M.: *On the class of weak* Dunford-Pettis operators*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **62** (2013), 261-265.
[10] Machrafi N., Elbour A., Moussa M.: *Some characterizations of almost limited sets and applications*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2770
[11] Meyer-Nieberg P.: *Banach lattices*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
[12] Wnuk W.: *Banach lattices with the weak Dunford-Pettis property*. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 42 (1994), no. **1**, 227–236.
[13] Wnuk W.: *On the dual positive Schur property in Banach lattices.* Positivity (2013) 17:759–773.

(A. Elbour) Université Moulay Ismaïl, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Département de Mathématiques, B.P. 509, Errachidia, Morocco.
E-mail address: azizelbour@hotmail.com

(N. Machrafi) Université Ibn Tofail, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Mathématiques, B.P. 133, Kénitra, Morocco.
E-mail address: nmachrafi@gmail.com

(M. Moussa) Université Ibn Tofail, Faculté des Sciences, Département de Mathématiques, B.P. 133, Kénitra, Morocco.
E-mail address: mohammed.moussa09@gmail.com